Pilot Study Checklist

☐ At least one of the following reasons to conduct the study applies
  • Study administration
  • Data management
  • Scientific

☐ Aims and objectives are clearly stated

☐ Collected data are consistent with goals

☐ No statistical hypothesis is tested

☐ Sample size is justified (not necessarily in a statistical sense)

☐ The way in which the data collected will be used in the design of a larger study has been addressed

☐ This study will answer the question of whether a full scale trial/experiment is worth pursuing

☐ Criteria that will determine continuation to a larger study are specified

Other recommendations and thoughts

Distinguish between
  • Toy problems for learning experience
  • Identifying feasibility answers
  • Getting “preliminary data” (why?)

Always identify
  • What the goal of the study is
  • How it will be determined whether the goal is met
  • What steps need to be taken to meet the goal

What is innovative or novel.

A poorly designed pilot study can be used as an example to train investigators in designing experiments.

Example of a badly designed pilot study
Prior to a larger clinical trial, a pilot study involving 10 patients is proposed. The goal of the project is to investigate how well patients will tolerate wearing a new ambulatory heart monitor while receiving an experimental medication. Data will be downloaded from the monitors and will be analyzed using a t-test procedure for comparison of post-treatment heart rate to pre-treatment heart rate.

- The main goal was to assess tolerability but there is no measure defined for it. No data to address the main goal is collected.
- There is an implicit hypothesis tested.
- Sample size is not justified and may be driven by the desire to detect a difference.

If the goal is to estimate tolerability, where tolerability is defined as a dichotomous variable. Then the investigator needs to describe a criterion for unacceptable tolerability. The closer the intolerability is to zero, the larger the sample size needs to be.

Suggest a two tiered review. Statistician need only review protocols that have scientific merit.
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